	l	
1 2 3 4 5 6	BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP David Boies (admitted pro hac vice) 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 Tel.: (914) 749-8200 dboies@bsfllp.com Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165 Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. Bill Carmody (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Shawn J. Rabin (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Steven M. Shepard (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Alexander P. Frawley (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Ryan Sila (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) One Manhattan West, 50 th Floor New York, NY 10001 Tel.: (212) 336-8330
7	San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel.: (415) 293-6800	bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com srabin@susmangodfrey.com sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
8	mmao@bsfllp.com brichardson@bsfllp.com	afrawley@susmangodfrey.com rsila@susmangodfrey.com
9	James Lee (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor Miami, FL 33131	Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
11	Tel.: (305) 539-8400 jlee@bsfllp.com	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 789-3100 abonn@susmangodfrey.com
12 13	Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334 Samantha Parrish, CA Bar No. 318681	MORGAN & MORGAN John A. Yanchunis (admitted pro hac vice)
14	M. Logan Wright, CA Bar No. 349004 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520	Ryan J. McGee (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>) Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805
15	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (213) 995-5720	201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor Tampa, FL 33602
16 17	alanderson@bsfllp.com sparrish@bsfllp.com mwright@bsfllp.com	Tel.: (813) 223-5505 jyanchunis@forthepeople.com rmcgee@forthepeople.com
18	Counsel for Plaintiffs; additional counsel	mram@forthepeople.com
19	listed in signature blocks below	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	ANIDAL DODDICHEZ GAL CATALDO	I
22 23	ANIBAL RODRIGUEZ, SAL CATALDO, JULIAN SANTIAGO, and SUSAN LYNN HARVEY, individually and on behalf of all	Case No. 3:20-cv-04688-RS
24	others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	NOTICE OF PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THIRD-
25	v.	PARTY APP DEVELOPERS JURY INSTRUCTION
26	GOOGLE LLC,	The Honorable Richard Seeborg
27	Defendant.	Courtroom 3 – 17th Floor

28

CASE NO. 3:20-cv-04688-RS

Plaintiffs ask the Court, upon hearing Google's closing, to reconsider giving the Plaintiffs' previously proposed "Third-Party App Developers Instruction, if Google (1) argues that Google's Firebase Terms of Service with Third-Party App Developers (at GO-933) is a basis for the jury to conclude that Plaintiffs gave permission or consent for Google to collect, save, and copy their thirdparty app activity data; or (2) overemphasizes those terms. As the Court noted in its MIL Order: Defendant may introduce evidence that it required app developers to reveal their use of Google Analytics in attempting to rebut the argument that it acted in a highly offensive manner but not as part of the chain of consent. It has conceded that no third-party app disclosure to users discussed WAA or (s)WAA, so such disclosures necessarily do not speak to whether Plaintiffs consented to WAA-off or (s)WAA-off collection by agreeing to them. Relatedly, Plaintiffs may not argue that Google failed to obtain the necessary consent by pointing to the dearth of evidence about thirdparty app compliance with Google's policies. The consent question in this case revolves around the relationship between Google and Plaintiffs, not the apps and Plaintiffs nor Google and the apps. Were Google's disclosures to Plaintiffs unclear such that it was objectively reasonable for Plaintiffs to expect that turning WAA or (s)WAA off would stop Google from collecting their data through third-party apps that used Google Analytics and/or GA4F? The jury will decide. See Dkt. 587 at 6–7. Plaintiffs fully complied with the Court's order. The Court also emphasized this point throughout trial: MR. DAVID BOIES: The witness just testified that the reason for putting paragraph 7 -- or Section 7 into the agreement with app developers was to ensure that the users understood what was being collected. That is exactly what the Court has said they are not permitted to do. This is not about consent. THE COURT: Well, it's going to be clear in the jury instructions that consent vis-àvis the third-party apps is not a defense. So to the extent that you think that suggestion has been made, it will be clear that that's not the defense in this case, and that's what we discussed at great length. What is your reason for going into this? Because you agree that I've said quite clearly --MR. SANTACANA: Yes. THE COURT: -- that's out.

27

28

See, e.g., Trial Tr. 1150:22-1151:11.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Given that the Court did not include the Plaintiffs' proposed Third-Party App Developers

Instruction in the final jury instruction, the Plaintiffs seek to ensure that Google does not attempt to

CASE NO. 3:20-cv-04688-RS

confuse the jury by arguing in closing that those terms support a finding that Google obtained permission or consent to collect, save, and copy Plaintiffs' sWAA-off data. The same is true if Google over emphasizes these agreements during closing.

Plaintiffs provided a draft proposed Third-Party App Developers Instruction that uses

language that tracks this Court's order and instructs the jury on what Google' Firebase Terms of Service with Third Party App Developers is not relevant to (permission or consent) as well as what they are relevant to (highly offensive). See Ex. A.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons above, upon hearing Google's closing, the Court should reconsider giving the Plaintiffs' proposed "Third Party Apps" instruction if Google (1) argues that Google's Terms of Service with Third Party Apps is a basis for the jury to conclude that Plaintiffs gave permission or consent for Google to collect, save, and copy their third-party app activity data; or (2) overemphasizes Google's Terms of Service with Third Party Apps Developers.

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

DATED: September 2, 2025

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP

/s/Mark C. Mao

Mark C. Mao, CA Bar No. 236165 mmao@bsfllp.com Beko Reblitz-Richardson, CA Bar No. 238027 brichardson@bsfllp.com 44 Montgomery St., 41st Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Tel.: (415) 293-6800

David Boies (pro hac vice) dboies@bsfllp.com 333 Main Street Armonk, NY 10504 Tel.: (914) 749-8200

James Lee (pro hac vice) jlee@bsfllp.com 100 SE 2nd St., 28th Floor Miami, FL 33131 Tel.: (305) 539-8400

-2

CASE NO. 3:20-cv-04688-RS

	1
1 2 3 4 5	Alison L. Anderson, CA Bar No. 275334 alanderson@bsfllp.com Samantha Parrish, CA Bar No. 318681 sparrish@bsfllp.com M. Logan Wright, CA Bar No. 349004 mwright@bsfllp.com 2029 Century Park East, Suite 1520 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (213) 995-5720
6	
7	Bill Carmody (<i>pro hac vice</i>) bcarmody@susmangodfrey.com
8	Shawn J. Rabin (pro hac vice) srabin@susmangodfrey.com
9	Steven M. Shepard (<i>pro hac vice</i>) sshepard@susmangodfrey.com
10	Alexander P. Frawley (<i>pro hac vice</i>) afrawley@susmangodfrey.com
11	Ryan Sila (pro hac vice) rsila@susmangodfrey.com
12	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
13	One Manhattan West, 50 th Floor New York, NY 10001
14	Tel.: (212) 336-8330
15	Amanda K. Bonn, CA Bar No. 270891 abonn@susmangodfrey.com
16	SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P. 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
17	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 789-3100
18	
19	John A. Yanchunis (pro hac vice) jyanchunis@forthepeople.com
20	Ryan J. McGee (<i>pro hac vice</i>) rmcgee@forthepeople.com
21	Michael F. Ram, CA Bar No. 104805 mram@forthepeople.com
22	MORGAN & MORGAN, P.A. 201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
23	Tampa, FL 33602
24	Tel.: (813) 223-5505
25	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
26	
27	

28

CASE NO. 3:20-cv-04688-RS

-3-